

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/036,591	FLAM, RAN J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert Stevens	2162	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert Stevens, USPTO. (3) _____

(2) Gordon Nelson, Reg. No. 30,093. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner previously discussed potential claim amendments with primary Examiners Alam and Ali. Examiner called Applicant's representative, Mr. Nelson, to discuss a potential examiner's amendment. The Examiner suggested incorporating the substance of claim 9 into claim 1, and replacing claim language reciting "may" with a positive recitation. Applicant's representative was to review these recommendations with the Applicant. Mr. Nelson later faxed a courtesy copy of a supplementary amendment, which incorporated the substance of claim 9 into claim 1, and reworked the "may" language to reflect a positive recitation of these limitations.

Email to Fax Delivery

To: 15712734102
From: genelson@comcast.net
Date: May 16, 2007 20:03:12 GMT
Subj: Proposal for an amended claim 1 in USSN 10/036,591, attn. Examiner Robert Steve
Pages: 7

Dear Examiner Stevens,

Re: USSN 10/036,591, Ran J. Flam, *Graphical user interface for automated process control*,
my docket sparta01.005

Attached are clean and marked up copies of claim 1 as amended per our telephone conversation. The added limitations are from claim 9. This is a proposal only and NOT FOR ENTRY.

I can be reached by fax at 866-723-0359 and by email at genelson@comcast.net.

Thank you,

Gordon E. Nelson, attorney of record

Gordon E. Nelson,
Gordon E. Nelson, Patent Attorney, PC

sparta01.005

1 1. (currently amended) A graphical user interface in a system having at least one memory
 2 device, at least one device for implementing the graphical user interface, and at least one
 3 processor having access to the memory device and the graphical user interface device, the
 4 graphical user interface specifying one or more predefined actions, each predefined
 5 action modifying a value of a record field that is common to records belonging to a set
 6 thereof, the records being stored in the memory devices and the processor causing the
 7 graphical user interface device to generate the graphical user interface, responding to
 8 inputs therefrom, and automatically executing a predefined query according to a
 9 predefined schedule, the predefined query being associated with the predefined action
 10 and returning records belonging to the set,

11 the graphical user interface comprising:

12 a window in the graphical user interface device, the window displaying a table
 13 wherein the record field whose value is to be modified by the action has an entry that is
 14 selectable by a user of the graphical user interface, the entry including

15 a first field of the entry that identifies the record field to be modified by
 16 the action; and

17 one or more action fields of the entry that, when the user has selected the
 18 entry, the user sets to specify a particular predefined action in which the record field
 19 identified by the first field of the entry is set to a value which is computed from a current
 20 value of a reference field in the returned record, the reference field being another field
 21 that is common to records belonging to the set thereof.

22 whereupon, each time the processor automatically executes the predefined query
 23 according to the predefined schedule and the predefined query returns a record that
 24 belongs to the set, the processor performs the particular predefined action.

Deleted: a

Deleted: which modifies

Deleted: may set

Deleted: the

Deleted: modifies the value in the
 record field in the returned record that is
 identified by the entry's first field as
 specified in the one or more action fields
 of the entry